1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 NATURAL RESOURCES DEFENSE COUNCIL, et al., NO. CIV. S-88-1658 LKK 12 Plaintiffs, 13 ORDER v. 14 15 KIRK C. RODGERS, etc., et al., 16 Defendants. 17 At the request of the parties, trial in the above-captioned 18 case has been vacated and the matter has been continued for 20 further Status Conference pending current settlement 21 discussions. Accordingly, the cross-motions for summary 22 judgment on NEPA and the Reclamation Act are hereby WITHDRAWN 23 | from submission and DENIED without prejudice. 24 //// 25 //// 26 ////

1		Should settlement negotiations be unsuccessful, the court
2	will	take the motions back under submission at that time.
3		IT IS SO ORDERED.
4		DATED: February 8, 2006.
5		/s/Lawrence K. Karlton LAWRENCE K. KARLTON
6		SENIOR JUDGE
7		UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		